



Elenchus Report on Énergir Cost Allocation and Pricing

Working Session #1
John Todd
February 17, 2020



1



Agenda

1. Key Preliminary Conclusions
 - a) Conceptual Framework
 - b) Three Tiers of Gas Supply
 - c) Costs of the 3 Portfolio Tiers
 - d) Sub-functions of Load Balancing
2. Additional Information Required
 - a) Details of Inputs to Énergir's Cost Allocation Model

17 Feb 2020



2

2

Preliminary Conclusions: Conceptual Framework

- Traditional Conceptual Framework
 - Allocate tools to customer classes
 - Gas purchases, transportation, storage (LB)
- Énergir's Alternate Conceptual Framework
 - Allocate functions to classes
 - Gas supply at 100% load factor (LF)
 - Load balancing (seasonal, day ahead, etc.)
 - Operational flexibility (daily imbalances within day)

17 Feb 2020



3

3

Preliminary Conclusions: Three Tiers of Gas Supply

- **Tier 1:** The gas supply portfolio that would meet the forecast annual volumetric requirement of Énergir's customers at minimum cost (i.e., gas supply at 100% load factor).
- **Tier 2:** The gas supply portfolio that would meet the forecast annual volumetric requirement of Énergir's customers with load balancing at minimum cost (i.e., without accommodating operational flexibility).
- **Tier 3:** The actual gas supply portfolio that meet the forecast annual volumetric requirement and accommodates Énergir's load balancing needs as well as operational flexibility.

17 Feb 2020



4

4

Preliminary Conclusions: Cost of 3 Portfolio Tiers

- Tier 1: 100% load factor gas supply
 - Purchases & transport at average daily volume (no storage or interruptible)
 - Modify for season difference in cost of purchases (may require some storage to minimize cost)
- Tier 2: Gas supply with load balancing
 - Actual cost with operational flexibility (OF) removed
 - Requires estimate of premium to accommodate OF
- Tier 3: Gas supply with load balancing and operational flexibility
 - Actual gas supply portfolio cost
 - Assume actual portfolio minimizes cost
- Note: Cost causality could be based on planning cost drivers; hence, no need to reconcile for actual

17 Feb 2020



5

5

Preliminary Conclusions: LB Sub-functions

- Seasonal load balancing: Essentially winter vs. summer average daily volume (injection vs withdrawal seasons). Long term storage used; cost causality is degree-days (DD).
- Daily load balancing: Within season variances due to factors other than DD, such as weekday vs weekend/holidays, customer operations, etc.
 - May not require additional storage, but only adjust daily injections/withdrawals

17 Feb 2020



6

6

Additional Information Required

- The derivation of certain cost allocation model inputs were not available to Elenchus
- Elenchus presented its understanding of the Énergir evidence and background. My interpretation reflects my understanding – generally what make sense to me. Identification of areas where my interpretation is not what Énergir intended would be helpful (e.g., supply planning). Normally, I would have asked IRs seeking confirmation of my understanding.
- The Report includes numerous comments for consideration of Énergir and other parties although I have not made specific recommendations. These comments were made “for discussion purposes”.
- Report p. 31-32,

17 Feb 2020

 Elenchus

7